

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/629,930	LEFEBVRE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Phu K. Nguyen	2628	

**All Participants:**

(1) Phu K. Nguyen.

(2) David Rodack.

**Date of Interview:** 10 September 2007

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*12*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner requests Applicant's permission to correct an apparent typo error in claim 12 (line 1, replace "10" by - 11 -).*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**PHU K. NGUYEN**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
**GROUP 2300**


**Status of Application:** Allowance


(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_





(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)